

Roeca Haas Montes De Oca LLP
48 Gold Street, San Francisco, CA 94133
415.352.0980 Fax 415.352.0988

1 RUSSELL S. ROECA (State Bar # 97297)
rsr@rhbm.legal

2 KYLE MONTES DE OCA (State Bar # 280114)
kmo@rhbm.legal

3 AUDREY TAM (State Bar # 305437)
ast@rhbm.legal

4 **ROECA HAAS MONTES DE OCA LLP**
48 Gold Street
5 San Francisco, CA 94133
Telephone: (415) 352-0980
6 Facsimile: (415) 352-0988

7 Attorneys for Defendants
RONALD J. COOK and WILLOUGHBY STUART
8 BENING & COOK (erroneously sued as Law Firm of
Willoughby Stuart)

9 JOHN F. BRADLEY, JR.. (State Bar # 166153)
john@jfbatlaw.com
10 Attorney at Law
28 North First Street, Suite 400
11 San Jose, CA 95113-1210
Telephone: 408-998-2000
12 Facsimile: 408-998-2111

13 Attorney for Defendants
14 TRUSTORS SECURITY DEED SERVICE, HOUSING
GROUP FUND CORPORATION, CDMS GROUP, INC.,
15 WELLPOINT ASSET RECOVERY, LLC, and IN PRO
SE

16 TODD A. ROBERTS (State Bar # 129722)
todd.roberts@rmkb.com
17 **ROBERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY**
1001 Marshall Street, Suite 500
18 Redwood City, CA 94063-2052
Telephone: (650) 364-8200
19 Facsimile: (650) 780-1701

20 Attorneys for Defendant
21 ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY

22 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

23 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

24 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

25
26
27
28 4819-1635-9868.1

1 ABDUL-JALIL al-HAKIM,

2 Plaintiff,

3 vs.

4 WELLPOINT ASSET RECOVERY,
5 LLC, (WELLPOINT); CALIFORNIA
6 STATE AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION
7 INTER-INSURANCE BUREAU, ET AL.
8 (CSAA); KENNETH C. GEORGE;
9 STEPHEN BARBER; LAW FIRM OF
10 ROPERS, MAJESKI, RONALD J.
11 COOK, AND LAW FIRM OF
12 WILLOUGHBY STUART; HOUSING
13 GROUP FUND CORPORATION;
14 TRUSTORS SECURITY DEED
15 SERVICE; SCHOOL TRUST #1321;
16 SUNKIST TRUST #7633; EURISKO
DEVELOPMENT SOLUTIONS LLC;
JOHN BRADLEY JR.; DENNIS LANNI;
DEANNA MONTGOMERY; COLIN
HAMMETT, KEN MADHVANI;
CAMERON HAMMETT; LANETTE
HAMMETT; LANNY HAMMETT;
BROOKE HAMMETT; and DOES 1
through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:19-cv-00303-YGR

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF
DEFENDANTS STEPHAN BARBER;
RONALD J. COOK; WILLOUGHBY
STUART BENING & COOK; JOHN
BRADLEY; AND ROPERS, MAJESKI,
KOHN & BENTLEY PURSUANT TO
ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE;
CERTIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

Complaint Filed: January 17, 2019

Trial Date: None Set

17 On March 3, 2020, the Court entered an Order granting Defendants STEPHAN BARBER;
18 RONALD J. COOK; WILLOUGHBY BENING STUART & COOK (formerly known as
19 Willoughby, Stuart & Bening and erroneously sued as Law Firm of Willoughby Stuart); JOHN
20 BRADLEY, JR. (erroneously sued as the Law Firm of John Bradley, Jr.); and ROPERS,
21 MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY's (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Defendants") motions
22 to dismiss (Docket Nos. 35, 37, and 48) in response to Plaintiff Abdul-Jalil al-Hakim's
23 ("Plaintiff") complaint. (Docket No. 71)

24 Plaintiff's complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted against
25 Defendants. Plaintiff failed to plead sufficient facts to state a claim against Defendants and failed
26 to demonstrate an ability to plead such facts on further amendment. The Court determined that the
27 allegations regarding Defendants specifically concern communications made in connection with
28 the state court proceedings, and thus, the litigation privilege applied and amendment would be

1 futile. (Docket No. 71, p. 9: 1-11.) Accordingly, Defendants were **DISMISSED WITH**
 2 **PREJUDICE** from this action.

3 The Court concludes that its dismissal of Defendants from the action is final, and that
 4 balancing all the factors to be considered, there is no just reason for delaying the entry of final
 5 judgment with respect to these Defendants, and that final judgment should be so entered pursuant
 6 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).

7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiff Abdul-
 8 Jalil al-Hakim take nothing from Defendants STEPHAN BARBER; RONALD J. COOK;
 9 WILLOUGHBY BENING STUART & COOK; JOHN BRADLEY, JR.; and ROPERS,
 10 MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY, who may seek to recover their costs of suit pursuant to Federal
 11 Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1) and as permitted by applicable law.

12 This judgment is certified and entered by the Court pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal
 13 Rules of Civil Procedure.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
 16 Dated: May 29, 2020


 17 THE HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
 18 United States District Court Judge
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28